Germination of African oil bean (Pentaclethra macrophylla, Benth.) seeds grown in crude oil polluted soil

AGBOGIDI O M, Ayelo E

Abstract

Background: An assessment of the germination of African oil bean seeds as affected by crude oil pollution of soil was carried out in Asaba, Nigeria in 2008. Six (0.0, 1.4, 2.8, 5.3, 11.2 and 22.4% by weight per 1.6kg of weight of soil sample) crude oil concentrations in soil served as the treatments. A randomized complete block design was adopted with four replications.
Results: The results showed that no significant differences (P>0.05) existed between the Pentaclethra macrophylla seeds sown in the unpolluted (0.0%) and those planted in the 1.4% level of oil pollution as regards percentage germination, days to germination and rate of germination. Significant differences (P?0.05) were however observed in the germination characteristics of P. macrophylla seeds sown in soils as the concentration of oil in soils increased.
Conclusion: The study has established that crude oil pollution of soil has a significant effect of reducing the viability of Pentaclethra macrophylla seeds. It is also demonstrated in the study that crude oil application to soil significantly delayed and reduced the rate of germination of Pentaclethra macrophylla seeds while the minimum (critical) level of crude oil pollution that Pentaclethra macrophylla seeds can tolerate with respect to germination is 1.4% wet weight.

Introduction

African oil bean (*Pentaclethra macrophylla*, Benth) which is a tropical tree crop found mostly in the Southern and Middle belt regions of West and Central Africa, belongs to the family leguminoseae and the sub-family of mimosoideae[11]. It is recognized by peasant farmers for its soil improvement properties and as a component of an agro forestry system[39]. Enujiugha and Agbede [2211] identified *Pentaclethra macrophylla* as a minor food supplement. The tree crop is recommended for planting to increase honey production, nectar produced by flowers from this tree is very attractive to bees[40].

According to Enujiugha and Agbede[228], the seed contains 23-28% protein, the twenty (20) essential amino acids and essential fatty acids. The seeds when cooked, processed and fermented can be used for the preparation of many delicious delicacies including African salad, soups and sausages[723]. The plant is used as salt substitute, charcoal, carvings, seed craft, dye, mild poison, medicine (against convulsion, abortion, diarrhea, infertility), wound treatment, lactogenicity, ornamental as well as fencing, timber and structural work[13249]

The tree grows to about 21m in height and up to 6m in girth[11]. It has a characteristic low branching habit and an open crown, which allows substantial light under its canopy hence it, is used in combination with other food crops on farms and particularly in home gardens in South-eastern Nigeria[31]. It has a crooked bole with low wide buttresses. The bark is grayish to dark reddish-brown, thin flaking off in irregular patches. The leaves with a stout angular common stalk is 20-45cm long and covered with rusty satellite hairs and consists of between 10 and 12 pairs of stout opposite pinnae[38]. The leaflets, in shape of a parallelogram, are practically glabrous. The flowers creamy-yellow or pinkish white and sweet smelling is crowded in narrow spikes[38]. Flowering occurs twice in a year: January-May and July-December[39]. The (fruits) pods are persistent, 35-45cm long by 5-10cm broad, widest at the upper end, rounded at the apex, blackish in colour, very hard, woody, splitting open explosively and valves curling up as well as containing between 5 and 8 flat glossy brown edible seeds up to 7cm long. The wood of the plant is reddish-brown and very hard[38]. Nigeria is a major crude oil and natural gas exporter as well as an important agricultural nation in the West African sub-region[4]. Crude oil spillage is a common phenomenon in Nigeria not only in the oil producing areas but also in other areas because of pipeline connections and installations[20].

Crude oil pollution has been reported to contaminate terrestrial ecosystems resulting to damage in soil properties, destruction of plants and animals and in most cases leading to death. Although research works have be carried out on crop plants and some forest species[24244], there is paucity of documented data on the response of *Pentaclethra macrophylla* to crude oil. Such data could be used for environmental impact Assessment (EIA) and rehabilitation studies. The occurrence of this multipurpose species in the forest area of the Niger Delta and the great deal of crude oil spillage in the coastal regions prompted the investigation into the germination of African oil bean seeds grown in crude oil polluted soils. Besides, information on the germination of this economically valued forest species is scarce. This study specifically was undertaken to evaluate the viability of *Pentaclethra macrophylla* seeds as affected by various levels of crude oil pollution.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out at the nursery site of the Department of Forestry and Wildlife, Faculty of Agriculture, Delta State University, Asaba, Nigeria in 2008. Asaba is located at latitude 06^0 14'N, and longitude 06^0 49'E of the equator. Asaba lies in the tropical rainfall zone. The rainy season is usually between April and October, with an annual rainfall range of 1505 to1849.3 mm. The mean temperature is 28 ± 6^0C. The relative humidity is 69-80%, and the monthly sunshine is 4.8 hours[10].

Fruits of *P. macrophylla* were obtained from the parent tree at Ufoma in Ughelli-North Local Government Area of Delta State. Healthy and viable seeds were selected and sorted out by simple floatation test. Soil sample used was obtained from the Gmelina plantation behind the Departmental nursery site. The soil was air-dried and passed through a 2mm sieve. The crude oil with specific gravity of $0.900g/cm^3$ was obtained from the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC), Warri, Delta State. Crude oil pollution levels in soil were 0.0, 1.4, 2.8, 5.6, 11.2 and 22.4% per 1.6kg of soil. These concentrations compare with minimum ambient oil pollution in soils at forest species natural habitats. Seeds of *Pentaclethra macrophylla* were sown directly in the polypots (20/40cm) containing the crude oil pollution and the unpolluted (control) soils. The polypots were watered to field capacity immediately after planting and every other day following the procedure of Agbogidi and Dolo[6]. No fertilizer was used. The trial was arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replications in the nursery for subsequent examination. Parameters measured were germination percentage (%), days to germination and rate of germination.

Composite soil samples were collected from 0-20 cm depth prior to treatment application. Also, at harvest, soil samples were collected from each experimental plot at 0-20 cm depth. These samples were used to determine soil physico–chemical properties. The analysis was carried out at the Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research (NIFOR) Benin, Edo State, Nigeria. The particle size distribution was determined by the hydrometer method[184244] while bulk density was by core method (Blake and Hartge, 1986). Soil pH was determined in distilled water using a soil: liquid ratio of 1:1, Electrical conductivity was measured by a conductivity bridge (Chandos Conductivity Model A19 Bridge). Phosphate–Phosphorus was measured in soil extracts by the ascorbic acid method[3343]. Total nitrogen was determined by the regular Macro-Kjeldahl digestion technique (Jackson, 1964).

Nitrate-nitrogen was determined by the phenoldisulphonic acid method (Esu, 1999), Organic carbon was measured by the wet combustion method[52] and converted to organic matter by multiplying the values of organic carbon by a factor of 1.724 following Allison (1965). C/N ratio was calculated by dividing %carbon values by that of the total nitrogen. The data obtained were exposed to analysis of variance while the significant means were separated with the Duncan's multiple range tests using SAS (2005).

Results and Discussion

No significant difference (P?0.05) existed between the *P. macrophylla* seeds sown in the unpolluted soil (0.0%) and those planted in the 1.4% level of oil pollution (Table 1). Significant differences (P?0.05) were however observed in the germination percentage of *P. macrophylla* seeds sown in soils as the concentration of crude oil in soils increased (Table 1). For example, while the germination % of *Pentaclethra macrophylla* seeds sown in 0..0 and 1.4%w/w of oil-polluted soils were 93.33and 86.67 respectively, the germination % of *Pentaclethra macrophylla* seeds planted in soils polluted with 5.6 and 11.2%w/w of the oil recorded 40.00 and 20.00 respectively. Days to germination of *P. macrophylla* seeds grown in crude oil impacted soil increased as the level of oil pollution in soils increased and the values significantly differed (P?0.05) when compared with seeds planted in the control soils (Table 1). The rate of germination of *P. macrophylla* seeds as affected by crude oil soils is presented in Table 1.

Table 1.

Germination characteristics of *Pentaclethra macrophylla* as affected by crude oil in soil.			
Oil in soil % (w/w)	%Germination	Days to germination	Rate of germination
0.0	93.33a	8.2d	4.3a
1.4	86.67ab	9.8c	3.9a
2.8	66.67b	15.6b	3.2b
5.6	40.00c	25.5a	1.9c
11.2	20.00d	28.4a	1.0d

Means with the same letters within the same column are not significantly different from each other at P?0.05 using the Fishers Least Significant Difference (LSD)

While more seeds and rate of germination increased in soils without crude oil treatment and those with the lowest oil treatment (1.4). The rate in soils with higher levels of crude oil significantly reduced at the 5% level of probability (Table 1). The physico-chemical property of Asaba soil before addition of oil to soil is shown in Table 2. Table 3 shows the eeffect of different crude oil levels on some soil physical properties at Asaba location. The chemical nutrient elements of Asaba soil as affected by crude oil in soil is presented in Tables 4a and 4b. Effects of different crude oil levels on soil Total Exchangeable Acidity (TEA), Total Exchangeable Bases (TEB), Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (ECEC) and Base Saturation (BS) at Asaba is indicated in Table 5.

Physico-chemical properties of Asaba soil before planting.	
Parameters	Asaba
Sand (%)	94.5
Silt (%)	2.1
Clay (%)	3.4
Soil pH	5.60
Organic carbon (%)	0.91
Total N (%)	0.06
Available P (mg/kg)	30.00
Ca^{2+} (cmol/kg)	1.31
Mg^{2+} ''	0.16
Na^{+} ,,	0.25
K^{+} ,,	0.17
H^{+} (cmol/kg	0.45
Al^{3+} ,,	0.08
ECEC ,,	2.42
Base saturation (%)	78.10

Table 3.

Effect of different crude oil levels on some soil physical properties at Asaba.						
Crude oil levels (ml)	Particle size distribution			(%) Textural class	Bulk density(Mg/m^3)	Particle density(Mg/m^3)
	Clay	Slit	Sand			
0	3.4NS	2.1NS	94.5NS	sand	1.37NS	2.74 NS
1.2	3.1 NS	0.9NS	96.0 NS	sand	1.36NS	2.72NS
2.4	2.8NS	0.8NS	96.4 NS	sand	1.35NS	2.70NS
5.6	3.2NS	0.7NS	96.1NS	sand	1.34 NS	2.69NS
11.2	2.9NS	0.6NS	96.5NS	sand	1.33 NS	2.67NS

Means in the same column with NS are not significantly different (P ?0.05), using DMRT.

Table 4a.

Effect of different crude oil levels on some chemical soil properties at Asaba.								
Crude oil level in soil(ml) %	pH	EC (µg/cm)	Total C	Organic Carbon	Total N	No_3	P(mg/kg)	C/N ratio
0	5.60c	142.5a	0.53c	0.91d	0.060b	4.88a	30.00d	8.83d
1.4	5.75c	88.6c	0.58bc	1.00c	0.058b	4.16b	35.45c	10.00d
2.8	5.71c	84.5cd	0.72b	1.24ab	0.050b	3.12c	41.04b	14.40b
5.6	5.79b	82.4cd	0.96a	1.66a	0.044c	3.00d	46.21a	21.82ab
11.2	6.00a	76.8d	1.01a	1.74a	0.043c	2.92d	40.56b	23.49a

Means in the same column with the same letters are not significantly different (P ?0.05), using DMRT.

Table 4b.

Chemical nutrient elements of Asaba soil as affected by crude oil in soil.												
Oil in soil %(w/w)		Ppm	%	%	Meg/100g Soil							
	pH	P	C	N	Na	K	Ca	Mg	H^4	Al^{3+}	ECEC
Control	6.4b	43.59a	1.25d	0.10⁰	26.15a	0.36a	22.70a	1.68a	0.20a	0.40a	38.67a
1.4	6.4b	41.67ab	1.33c	0.11b	25.88ab	0.19a	9.68b	1.76c	0.20a	0.40a	38.10a
2.8	6.6b	41.02	1.38c	0.13b	25.88ab	0.18b	8.80c	2.24c	0.10b	0.30b	36.83b
5.6	7.1b	37.12c	1.47b	0.15ab	25.88ab	0.18b	8.30	3.92b	0.10b	0.20b	36.80b
11.2	7.2a	33.62a	2.02a	0.20a	25.43b	0.17c	7.68a	4.64a	0.10b	0.10b	32.82c

Means with different superscripts are significantly different at P<0.05 using Duncan's multiple range tests

Table 5.

Effects of different crude oil levels on soil Total Exchangeable Acidity (TEA), Total Exchangeable Bases (TEB), Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (ECEC) and Base Saturation (BS) at Asaba.										
Crude oil Exchangeable ions										
Levels(ml)	Ca^{2+}	Mg^{2+}	Na^+	K^+(c mol/kg^{-1})	H^+	Al^{3+}	TEB	TEA	ECE	BS (%)
0	1.31b	0.16d	0.25b	0.17c	0.45d	0.08a	1.89c	0.53bc	2.42c	78.10b
1.4	1.34b	0.18d	0.10c	0.15c	0.57b	0.07a	1.77c	0.64b	2.41c	73.44c
2.8	1.65a	0.40c	0.31a	0.14c	0.50c	0.06a	2.50a	0.56bc	3.06b	81.70b
5.6	1.74a	0.56ab	0.44a	0.13d	0.45d	0.04b	2.87c	0.49c	3.36b	85.42a
11..2	1.76a	0.64a	0.38a	0.11d	0.42d	0.02c	2.89a	0.44d	3.33b	86.79a

Means in the same column with same letter (s) are not significantly different (P?0.05), using DMRT.

Crude oil pollution at 1.4% w/w did not necessarily affect the germination percentage, days to germination and rate of germination. This observation shows that *Pentaclethra macrophylla* can tolerate crude oil pollution to a certain level. As the concentration of the crude oil in the soil increased, stressors increased the critical level at which the plant can no longer withstand the pollution had been reached.

The non-significant (P? 0.05) effects of crude oil application on soil in both locations physical properties studied may be due to the relatively low C: N content of the crude oil treatment of the soil sample. Low C.N. content of treatment materials may not have much influence on soil particle size distribution, bulk density and porosity since such amendments are rapidly mineralized to release their nutrient components by soil organisms[41]. However, cultivation of land and soil management practices adopted in the process of crop production may tend to lower the total pore space compared[481750] to that usually associated with a decease in organic matter content and as a consequence, lowering of granulation. Similar results of non-significant influence of crude oil on soil texture, bulk density and porosity had been observed by Asuquo[12]. The higher the bulk density, the more resistant the soil offers to the root extension and penetration thereby, reducing the areas it can absorb nutrients from the soil. The increase in other cations apart from exchangeable Na^+ and K^+, with increasing levels of oil, collaborate the findings of Amadi[9] . The high concentration of exchangeable Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} in soil can be attributed to rapid decay and mineralisation of organic and mineral materials in the soils. These processes lead to the release of cations and trace elements[41]. This observation agrees with the report of Udo and Oputa[51] who stated that the Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} are the most predominant cations in soil because of their strong absorption and rapid release into the soil through mineral weathering. Isirima[84] noted that Ca^{2+} and Mg^+ occur in association with other minerals.

The observed increase in exchangeable Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} contents as a result of crude oil application is in line with the findings of Amadi [9] who noted increases in the cations of soils treated with crude oil. All the values of the exchangeable Ca^{2+} still fall below critical limit (4 cmol/kg^{-1}) for fertile soils[25]. Thus they are far below the optimum requirements for agricultural productivity. The critical values of Ca^{2+} are 10 cmol/kg^{-1} soils[25]. Exchangeable H^+ was highest in soils treated with 1.4%w/w of crude oil and least in soils that were treated with the highest volume (11.2%w/w) of crude oil. Exchangeable Al^{3+} decreased with increasing level of oil. These may be due to the reduction of leaching as a result of hydrophobic action. Reduction in K^+ and Na^+ may be due to nutrient immobilisation consequent on the formation of complexes in the soil after degradation and uptake[14].

The observed increase in the phosphorus content of the crude oil contaminated soil may be due to the increase in soil pH resulting from treatment application. This finding supports earlier report by Bielski and Ferguson[16] who noted that increasing pH increases phosphorus availability up to a pH of about 5.5 – 6.0 thereafter, phosphorus availability starts to decrease. Siddiqui and Adams[47] had also recorded increased P with increasing concentrations of diesel hydrocarbons up to a stage and then it declined. This increase in soil pH may be attributed to the accumulation of exchangeable bases (Ca^{2+}, K^+, Mg^{2+}, Na^+) in the

crude oil contaminated soils. This finding is consistent with those of Benka-Coker and Ekundayo[141521]. Isirimal et al. (2003) posited that high pH favours availability of macronutrients but low one (increasing acidity) makes micronutrients more available and consequently, reduce microbial activity. The values measured are not detrimental to crops as high agricultural productivity can be obtained in soils with pH up to 6.5. The values of available phosphorus fall within the range of 20-100 mg/kg of soil indicating optimum levels for growth of crop plants[27].

The observed increase in the total carbon content of the soil with increasing concentration of the crude oil may be attributed to the high content of carbon in the oil, which was found to contain about 82.8% oxidisable carbon (Table 5). This could have been converted to soil organic carbon of oil polluted soils. Similar findings have been reported[14]. This observation also agrees with the findings of Ekundayo and Obuekwe[21] who noted increases in organic carbon content of oil polluted soils in Southern Nigeria. It may also be related to the slow decomposition rate of the oil treatment by soil organisms since contamination of soil with crude oil might have resulted in poor soil aeration. Oil contamination of soil has been shown to limit normal diffusion processes thereby reducing the availability of the level of some nutrients in the soil[8]. The soil organic carbon contents are not above the 2.0% critical levels require for plant growth[26].

The decrease in total nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen with an increase in oil levels may be due to immobilisation of this nutrient by microbes resulting which might have increased in population. Jobson[37] had earlier reported that oil spills on land resulted in an imbalance in the carbon: nitrogen ratio which, if greater than 17:1 in soils resulted in net immobilisation of nutrients by microbes leading to loss of soil fertility. Nutrient immobilisation following oil pollution of soil has also been reported by De Jong[19] for cereals. The resultant increase in the microbe population would demand more nitrogen and thus the total nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen in the soil would decrease. The decrease with time may also be interpreted to be due to high uptake of nitrogen with increased plant growth. Total N content of soils were below (0.2%) the critical value required for optimum agricultural productions [28]. The observed relatively low C/N ratio indicated that the crude oil was highly degradable. The level of C/N ratio ranged from 8.83 – 23.49. This showed that there was a net mineralisation of nitrogen in the soils as reported by Paul and Clark[45] .

The observed change in electrical conductivity indicated that the application of crude oil affected the ionic stability of the soil, which could have contributed to the decreased conductivity with increasing oil levels. An increase in crude oil concentration increased the soils ionic strength thereby increasing nutrients available in the soils. The relatively higher acidity level and lower organic matter content of soils treated with crude oil may have reduced the available phosphorus content of the soils. High soil acidity facilitates fixation of available phosphorus [2436] while negative correlation existed between soil organic carbon and phosphorus fixation in the soil[34]. Isirimal[34] also noted that low exchangeable phosphorus values could stem from low soil organic matter. The values of the total exchangeable bases, total exchangeable acidity and effective cation exchange capacity did not exceed the critical values suitable for optimum crop productions if other environmental factors are favourable[2530]. The results of the soil analyses indicated that crude oil application to soil in Asaba had a highly significant effect on soil chemical properties although no significant differences were observed in the physical soil properties as a result of soil treatment with crude oil.

Germination inhibition following crude oil application to soil could be attributed primarily to seed coating, which adversely affected gaseous exchange biological harm on the seeds caused by the characteristics of the crude oil used as well as the physical water-repellent property. The present study where there was a significant difference in all the germination characteristics studied in Pentaclethra macrophylla in both the treated and untreated soils agrees with prior reports of Agbogidi and Eshegbeyi[4] on *Dacryodes edulis*, Agbogidi and Dolor[6] on *Irvingia gabonensis*, Siddiqui and Adams[47] had earlier reported that crude oil endangers the life of seed embryo thereby reducing vital metabolic activities.

The absence of germination observed for seeds sown in the11.2%w/w of crude oil pollution could be due to the reduced biochemical activities and metabolic activities. Seeds that germinated died at the emergence of the hypocotyls or roots. This oil treatment could have negatively affected seed germination due to changes in soil oxygen. Similar observation has been reported for maize[5].

The reports of Gill[29473] on *Chromolaena odoratus*, perennial ryegrass and *Gambaya albida* respectively lay credence to this finding. Suggestively, this study has established that crude oil pollution of soil has a significant effect of reducing the viability of *Pentaclethra macrophylla* seeds. The study has also demonstrated that crude oil application to soil significantly delayed and reduced the rate of germination of *Pentaclethra macrophylla* seeds while the maximum level critical of crude oil pollution that *Pentaclethra macrophylla* seeds can tolerate as regards germination is 1.4% w/w. Crude oil pollution at 1.4% w/w did not necessarily affect the germination percentage, days to germination and rate of germination. This observation shows that *Pentaclethra macrophylla* can tolerate crude oil pollution to a certain level.

APPENDIX 1

46

References

1. Abbiw D. 1990. Useful plants of Ghana. Intermediate Technology Publications and Royal Botanical Gardens, Kew.

2. Agbogidi OM, Ofuoku AU. 2005. Response of sour sop (Annona muricata Linn.) to crude oil levels. Journal of Sustainable Tropical Agricultural Research 16:98-102.

3. Agbogidi OM and Ejemete OR. 2005. An assessment of the effects of crude oil pollution on soil properties, germination and growth of Gambaya albida (L.). Uniswa Research Journal of Agriculture, Science and Technology 8(2):148-155.

4. Agbogidi OM and Eshegbeyi OF. 2006. Performance of Dacryodes edulis (Don. G. Lam H.J.) seeds and seedlings in a crude oil contaminated soil. Journal of Sustainable Forestry 22(3/4):1-13.

5. Agbogidi OM, Eruotor PG, Akparobi SO. 2006. Effects of soil contaminated with crude oil on the germination of maize (Zea mays L). Nigerian Journal of Science and Environment 5:1-10.

6. Agbogidi OM and Dolor DE. (2007). An assessment of the growth of Irvingia gabonensis (Aubry-Lecomte Ex O' Rorte) Bail seedlings as influenced by crude oil contamination of soil. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences 2:1287-1292.

7. Aju PC and Okwulehie IC. 2005. Pentaclethra macrophylla (Bentham): an important but neglected fruit tree species in South-Eastern Nigeria. In: Popoola, L., Mfon, P. and Oni, P.I. (eds.). Proceedings of the 30th Annual Conference of the Forestry Association of Nigeria held in Kaduna, Kaduna State, Nigeria between 7th and 11th of November, 2005. 196-206.

8. Akindahunsi AA. 2004. Physiochemical studies on African Oil Bean (Pentaclethra macrophylla, Benth). Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment 2:14-17.

9. Amadi AA. Dickson A, Moate GO. 1993. Remediation of oil polluted soils: effect of organic nutrient supplements on the performance of maize (Zea mays, L.) Water Air and Soil Pollution 66:59 – 76.

10. Asaba Meteorological Station. 2008. National Meteorological Report on Meteorological Bulletin, 2008.

11. Aseogwu S, Ohanyere S, Kanu O, Iwueke, C. 2006. Physical properties of African oil bean seed (Pentaclethra macrophylla). Agricultural Engineering International, the CIGR Agricultural Engineering International Journal 1-15.

12. Asuquo FE, Ibanga IJ, Idungafa N. 2002. Effects of Qua Iboe (Nigerian) crude oil on germination and growth of okro (Abelmoschus essculentus L.) and fluted pumpkin (Telfiairia occidendalis L) in the tropics. Journal of Environmental Pollution and Health 1(2):31-40.

13. Blake GR and Hartge KH. 1986. Bulk density. In: Klute A (ed.). Methods of soil analysis part 1. Physical and mineralogical methods. Agronomy 9:365-375.

14. Benka-Coker MO and Ekundayo JA 1995. Effect of an oil spill on soil physiochemical properties of a spill site in the Niger Delta area of Nigeria. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 36:93-104.

15. Benka-Coker MO and Ekundayo JA. 1997. Applicability of evaluating the ability of microbes isolated from an oil spill site to degrade oil. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 45:259–272.

16. Bielski RL and Ferguson IB. 1983. Physiology and metabolism of phosphate and its compounds In: Inorganic plant nutrition. Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology 5:422-449.

17. Brady NC. 1990. Nature and properties of soils. Macmillan Publishing Comp. New York.

18. Bouyoucous GH. 1951. A recalibration of the hydrometer method for making mechanical analysis of soils. Agronomy Journal 43:434-438.

19. De Jong E. 1980. The effect of a crude oil spill on cereals. Environmental Pollution 22:187-196.

20. Edema NE, Okoloko GE and Agbogidi OM. 2007. Physico-chemical characteristics of the water-soluble fraction of Ogini well-head crude oil and effects on Pistia stratiotes Linn. American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Science 2 (6):633-628.

21. Ekundayo EO and Obuekwe CO. 1997. Effect of oil spill on soil physiochemical prosperities of a spill site in a tropical paledult of Midwestern Nigeria. Environmental Monitoring Assessment 60:235-249.

22. Enujiugha VN and Agbede JO. 2000. Nutritional and anti-nutritional characteristics of African oil bean (Pentaclethra macrophylla, Benth.) seeds. Applied Tropical Agriculture 5:11-14.

23. Enujiugha VN and Akanbi CT. 2005. Compositional changes in African oil bean seed Pentaclethra macrophylla) seeds

during thermal processing. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 4(1):27-31

24. Esu IE. 1999. Fundamentals of pedology. Stirling–Horden Publication (Nig.) Ltd, Ibadan.

25. FAO 1976. A framework for land evaluation. FAO Bulletin 32, FAO/UNESCO, Rome.

26. FAO 2002. World Agriculture: towards 2015/2030. Summary report, Rome.

27. Federal Environmental Protection Agency (FEPA). 2002. Review of environmental guidelines and standards for the petroleum industries in Nigeria (EGASPIN) issued the Department of Petroleum Resources, Lagos. 44.

28. FMANR. 1989. Literature on soil fertility investigation in Nigeria. A bulletin produced by the Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Lagos. 40.

29. Gill LS, Nyawuama HGK and Erukhametalor AO. 1992. Effect of crude oil on the growth and anatomical features of Chromolaena odorata (L.). Newsletters 5:46-50.

30. Holland MD, Allen VG, Barton D and Murphy ST. 1989. Land evaluation and agricultural recommendations. Cross Rivers National Park, Oban Division prepared by ODNRI in collaboration with WWF for Federal Republic of Nigeria and the Cross Rivers State.

31. Idumah FO, Onyeanusi AE and Ajiyi CA. 2008. Non-timber forest resource and sustainable livelihood in a rural economy. In: Onykwelu. J.C., Adekunle, V.A.J. and Oke, D.O. (eds.).Proceedings of the 1st National Conference of the Forests and Forest Products Society of Nigeria (FFPN) held at the Federal University of Technology, Akure, Ondo State between 16th -18th April, 2008. 253-261.

32. Ikhuoria EU, Aiwonegbe AE and Okoli R. 2006. Characteristics and composition of African oil bean seed (Pentaclethra macrophylla, Benth). Chemtech Journal 3:309-312.

33. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA). 1979. Selected methods for soil and plant analysis. Manual series, No 1, Ibadan. 4(!):27-31.

34. Isirimah NO, Dickson AA and Igwe C. 2003. Introductory soil chemistry and biology for agriculture and biotechnology. Osla International Publishers Limited, Port Harcourt.

35. Jackson ML. 1964. Soil chemical analysis. Pergaman Press, New York.

36. Jean-Marc B, Merz T and Ofjen I. 1995. Role of microorganisms in soil bioremediation. American Chemical Society Journal 45:1876-1881.

37. Jobson A, McLaughlin M, Cook FD, Westlake DWS. 1974. Effects of amendments the microbial utilization of oil applied to soil. Applied Microbiology 27:166-171.

38. Keay RWJ, Onochie CFA and Stanfield DP. 1989. Trees of Nigeria: a revised version of Nigeria trees vols 1 and 2. National Press Ltd, Apapa Lagos. Published by the Department of Forestry Research Institute, Ibadan.

39. Ladipo DO and Boland DJ. 1995. Pentaclethra macrophylla: a multipurpose tree from Africa with potential for agro forestry in the tropics. NFT Highlights, NFTA 95-05, September 1995. Winlock International, Morrilton AR, United States. 4.

40. Latham P. 2008. The oil bean tree (Pentaclethra macrophylla, Benth). Bees for Development Journal 38 online.

41. Nnaji GU, Mbagwu SC and Asadu CLA. 2005. Changes in physical properties of soil under cassava monocropping and organic waste amendments. In: Ogisi, O.D., Okuneye, P. B. and Oyaide, W.J. (eds.). Proceedings of the Farm Management Association of Nigeria (FAMAN) held at the Delta State University, Asaba Campus between 18th and 20th of October, 2005. Pp 374-377.

42. Nwadinigwe AO and Onwumer OH. 2003. Effects of petroleum spills on the germination and growth of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea. L). Journal of Biological Research and Biotechnology 3(2):101-105.

43. Obi ME. 1990. A laboratory manual for soil physics. University of Nigeria Press, Nsukka.

44. Onweremadu EU, Oti NN and Uzoho BU. 2005. Evaluation of selected soil chemical parameters in two crude oil spilled sites in southern Nigeria. Journal of Sustainability Tropical Agricultural Research 16:33-42.

45. Paul EA and Clark FE. 1989. Soil microbiology and biochemistry. Academic Press Inc., New York. 324.

46. Russell EW and Russell WA. 1960) Soil conditions and plant growth. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

47. Siddiqui S and Adams WA. 2002. The fate of diesel hydrocarbon in soil and their effect on the germination of perennial ryegrass. Environmental Toxicology 17 (1): 49-62.

48. Song HG and Bartha R. 1990. Effects of jet fuel spills on the microbial community of soil. Applied Journal of Environmental Microbiology 556:646-651.

49. Tico Ethno botanical Dictionary. 2005. Pentaclethra macrophylla (Benth.).
REFERENCE LINK

50. Udo EJ and Ogunwale JA. 1986. Laboratory manual for the analysis of soil, plant and water samples. University of Ibadan Press, Ibadan. 231.

51. Udo EJ and Oputa CO. 1984. Some studies on the effect of crude oil pollution of soil and plant growth. Journal of Biology and Applied Chemistry 29:3-14.

52. Walkley A and Black IA. 1934. An examination of the Degtgareff method for determining soil organic matter and proposed of modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sciences 37:29-33.